

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

3 CLARISSA ISELA MEZA )  
4 *doing business as* )  
5 WWM&W, LLC, Ally's Energy Healing & )  
Wellness Center, )  
6 )  
Plaintiff, )  
7 vs. )  
8 G. FLORES, *et al.*, )  
9 )  
Defendants. )

Case No.: 2:18-cv-01474-GMN-NJK

ORDER

11 Pending before the Court is the Report and Recommendation of the Honorable United  
12 States Magistrate Judge Nancy J. Koppe, (ECF No. 8), which recommends that Plaintiff  
13 Clarissa Isela Meza's Complaint be dismissed without prejudice.

14 A party may file specific written objections to the findings and recommendations of a  
15 United States Magistrate Judge made pursuant to Local Rule IB 1-4. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B);  
16 D. Nev. R. IB 3-2. Upon the filing of such objections, the Court must make a de novo  
17 determination of those portions to which objections are made. *Id.* The Court may accept, reject,  
18 or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the Magistrate Judge.  
19 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); D. Nev. IB 3-2(b). Where a party fails to object, however, the Court is  
20 not required to conduct "any review at all . . . of any issue that is not the subject of an  
21 objection." *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985). Indeed, the Ninth Circuit has recognized  
22 that a district court is not required to review a magistrate judge's report and recommendation  
23 where no objections have been filed. *See, e.g., United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114,  
24 1122 (9th Cir. 2003).

25 Here, no objections were filed, and the deadline to do so has passed.

